

Present: Wedekind, Lombard, Geimer, Giese, DeMars, VonAsten

Also Present: Kohlmeyer, Armstrong, Pleger, Krueger, Pinion, Schauf, Liebman, Palm, Pinion, Stieve and others

Absent: Kolb

Chairperson Wedekind called the Meeting of the Campus Commission to order at 8:00 a.m. noting compliance with the Open Meeting Law.

MOTION (Giese/Geimer) to approve the agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

**Public Comments** None

**Communications** None.

**Presentation of vendor proposals for Science Facility**

Each vendor was allowed 30 minutes for presentation of proposals and a 30 minute question and answer session. Before each presentation began; UW Campus Commission members introduced themselves as did each vendor's representatives. Followed by each presentation was a 10 minute break.

**A) Bray Associates Architects, Inc.**

Wolfert, Kuhn and Hacker each took part in the presentation and began by identifying themselves as this project's team members and key personnel that would be involved, followed up with their firm's background and years of experience. A power point presentation of a strategic timeline of the project, project cost allocation of the \$ 4.6 million dollar budget, images of similar past and current projects and a complete campus analysis displayed in macro view of the existing master plan site and four possible other site locations; (north, east, west and south sites). Pros and cons were presented for each identifying the south site as possibly the best location. Photographs of the current south location as the proposed site and several conceptual site designs of floor plans, faculty space, building sections and a conceptual rendering view looking south were presented in addition to green sustainability objectives and goals. A copy of the power point presentation was distributed.

Question and answer session followed.

*Copy of proposal on file.*

**B) Continuum Architects & Planners, S.C.**

Bongard, Barr, Beyer and Cotharn each took part in the presentation and began with an introduction to their firm's background, years of experience and other key personnel that would be involved with this project. Barr; however, would be the only point of contact on this project. A power point presentation of data provided several past and current projects, strategic timeline and project cost allocations of the \$ 4.6 million budget. They provided information only, no conceptual designs the two possible sites, east (current master plan location) and a north site, providing pros and cons for both sites. Question and answer session followed.

*Copy of proposal on file.*

**C) Strang, Inc.**

Barton, Hale, Kimball and McDuffie each took part in the presentation and began with an introduction to their firm's background, years of experience and other key personnel that would be involved with this project. Campus landscaping would be a connecting piece to the location of the site and design of the project. A power point presentation of data provided several past and current projects, strategic timeline and project cost allocations of the \$ 4.6 million budget. They provided sketches only of two possible site locations, east (current master plan location) and the second could be a one story new building to the north. A brochure with the team information was distributed.

Question and answer session followed.

*Copy of proposal on file.*

Commission did not go into closed session; continued to discuss in open session. Each member was provided opportunity to speak indicating their thoughts and concerns pertaining to strength, weaknesses in LEED issues, and visual designs of both possible site options and the science facility. Bray Associates Architects project team members brought forth a more stronger, energetic presentation delivering not only one or two options, but four site options providing conceptual site designs of a south site location that none of the other two seemed take the time to pursue. Bray's project representatives showed more teamwork, connectivity and goal orientated for this project.

MOTION (Geimer/Lombard) to approve recommending Bray Associates Architects, Inc. as the firm for construction of the science facility at UW- Baraboo/Sauk County Campus. ROLL CALL VOTE: AYES: (7) Wedekind, Geimer, Lombard, VonAsten, Giese, DeMars and Palm. NAYS: (0)

MOTION (Lombard/Giese) to adjourn until July 18, 2013 at 8:00 A.M. for the regular meeting. Meeting adjourned at 11:35 A.M. Motion unanimously carried.

Rebecca A. DeMars  
Sauk County Clerk