Sauk County, Wisconsin Emergency Management Annual Report

2016 Mission: In conjunction with State Statute 323, maintain an effective emergency management program with the National Response Plan and State Emergency Response Plan to protect and assist the citizens of Sauk County whenever a manmade, technological or natural disaster occurs, including terrorism. Perform all required duties under the Superfund Amendment Reauthorization Act (SARA).

Departmental Program Summary:

Emergency Management works with Federal, State and Local agencies to reduce the effects of natural, manmade and/or technological disasters including acts of terrorism on all inhabitants of Sauk County through planning, training, responding and mitigation

2016 Goals Review

2016 GOALS REVIEW

OBJECTIVE	WAS THIS OBJECTIVE REACHED IN 2016?			
	Yes or No (If no, please provide comment)			
Exercise	Yes			
Ongoing planning, education & awareness to newly developing issues	Yes			
Assist all personnel involved with Disaster response in obtaining required ICS & NIMS training to assure compliance.	Yes			
Continue to update County Annexes.	Yes			
Homeland Security equipment purchase & training	Yes			

Changes/Accomplishments:

- ✓ Emergency Management became its own department.
- ✓ Continued National Incident Management System and Incident Command System training for responders/communities/departments to assure NIMS/ICS compliance.
- ✓
- ✓ Completed the Plan of Work agreement with the state.
- ✓ Complotted Family and Community reunification plans.
- ✓ Sponsored spring severe weather/tornado spotters training for over 90 people.
- ✓ Sponsored active shooter trainings with private and public partners.
- ✓ Helped with the Rural Safety Days Chemical Safety session.
- ✓ Developed countywide school emergency preparedness plan. All schools adopted plan.
- ✓ Worked/completed exercise with Health Department on pandemic and mass vaccination.
- ✓ Held regular meetings with local emergency management representatives to keep them abreast of latest Emergency Management mandates from the State and Federal levels.
- ✓ Spent a significant amount of time with the Lake Delton EM director in getting plans up to date.
- ✓ Local Emergency Planning Committee (Assisted in the June & October clean sweep effort)

- ✓ Updated Internet site to deliver pertinent information via the web.
- ✓ Conducted full-scale exercise at Courthouse, West Square, and Reedsburg Human Services.
- ✓ Awareness Campaigns completed: Tornado, Heat, Winter, EPCRA/HAZMAT
- ✓ Attended Wisconsin Emergency Management Assn. Conference & Governor's Conference
- ✓ Completed several After Action Reviews of incidents that occurred in the County.

Statistical Summary:

Meetings Attended -109 Exercises/Training -62 Facility Walk through & Talks - 25
Interviews - 93 SARA Plans updated - 10 Annex Updates - 11 - the Basic Plan

Grants completed in 201

2016 EMPG \$50,189.00 2016 EPCRA \$19,10000

Total \$69,289.00

OUTPUT MEASURES

DESCRIPTION	2014 ACTUAL	2015 ACTUAL	2016 ACTUAL
Preparedness - Training	100 students NIMS	Law in-service	Training was held on
(There are approximately	& ICS	training was held.	pass system use - 15.
900 emergency response	145 students for Law	Several people	Volunteer
personnel in Sauk County-	Enforcement In-	trained on flood	Management training
Fire, EMS, Law	service	response and	- 20
Enforcement and Public	110 Severe Weather	shelter set-up.	Severe weather and
Works/Highway	Training students	Trained over 100	training – 98
Departments plus the	65 Public relations	people in the ICS	Trained over 100
numerous public training	talks/appearances	system.	people in ICS
course that we sponsor			
and/or teach)			
Exercises	4 Tabletops		26 tabletops
	1 Functional	16 Tabletops	6 Functionals
		2 Functionals	3 full-scales

OUTCOME AND EFFICIENCY MEASURES

DESCRIPTION	2014 ACTUAL	2015 ACTUAL	2016 ACTUAL
The way to consider the	Considerable time	Minimal or No	. Minimal or No
outcome of our Training,	was spent updating	Casualties from	Casualties from
Response and Mitigation	annexes to CPG 101	incidents, no loss	incidents, no loss of
is by the effectiveness of	requirements.	of funding for lack	funding for lack of
the response to an	Performance based	of required	required training. No
incident.	funding remains	training. No loss of	loss of life.
	consistent.	life.	
Grants approved and received	\$69,038	\$74,289	\$