

**SAUK COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**TMG AD HOC COMMITTEE MINUTES - SPECIAL MEETING**  
**Tuesday, September 27, 2011 - Second Floor, Room 213, West Square Building**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** M. Krueger, A. Carlson, H. Netzing, S. Alexander, S. Bach, J. Stoeckmann, T. Bychinski

**OTHERS PRESENT:** J. Fordham, K. Schauf, M. Posewitz, C. Bodendein, T. Liebman, C. Warwick, B. Orth, T. Tyczynski, K. Gochanour, D. Nobs, P. Murray, P. Rego, L. Dougherty, D. Stehling, B. Stehling

**ORDER OF BUSINESS.** The meeting was called to order by S. Alexander at 4:30 P.M. and was certified to be in compliance with the Open Meetings Law.

**AGENDA.** Motion by A. Carlson, second by H. Netzing, to adopt the agenda. **Motion carried.**

**MINUTES.** The minutes from August 30, 2011, to be approved at next meeting.

**PUBLIC COMMENT.** None.

**COMMUNICATIONS.** None.

**REPORTS ON STAKEHOLDER INPUT.**

**ADRC.** K. Schauf addressed discussion at last committee meeting on behalf of T. Vandre. Overall structure of other respective departments was discussed including sharing staff and potential program integration. The committee did not recommend a particular structural preference at this juncture. A. Carlson concurred with this assessment.

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES.** S. Bach discussed both the governance and structure issues; solicited input from citizen members. The citizen members were in favor of stronger functional group approach with specific leader and governance change. The remainder of the group will continue to discuss and explore options as appropriate.

**HUMAN SERVICES.** S. Alexander discussed some of the options as the ADRC; however agreed functional group with leader. Also discussed option three as indicated in the minutes, option three from TMG report and divisional approach. The Human Services Board needs to review all options in depth.

**PUBLIC HEALTH.** J. Stoeckmann stated at their last meeting discussed all other options and the TMG report itself. The Board of Health raised concerns with "super committee" structure and amount of time would potentially take for meetings, etc. The current boards/committees have necessary understanding of departments; would like structure whereby the committee chairs meet periodically, changes to functional group with team leader approach.

K. Schauf indicated overall priority was maximizing service and how we provide those services.

**VETS.** – T. Tyczynski indicated there was no new information to add for discussion purposes.

**REVIEW TIMELINE/OPTIONS.** K. Schauf asked group how would like to proceed; important to come to understanding what each option is and desire to have more stakeholder input on all of the options.

Criteria grid and options list will be refined for use by the respective oversight committees. The form will also be used for obtaining information from the functional group.

Options Grid/Timeline:

1. Clean up list of stakeholder options;
2. Take written form back to oversight committee;
3. Oversight committee recommendations with preferred options (scoring matrix). Each item discussed with the criteria listed at the bottom of the document with ratings/scorings.
4. Department managers solicit input from staff/functional group.

Motion by M. Krueger, second by T. Bychinski to approve timeline/options grid as presented. **Motion carried.**

Discussion ensued regarding remaining leadership and governance structures based on handout (attached).

**POSSIBLE ACTION ON PREFERRED OPTION.** Motion by M. Krueger, second by A. Carlson to remove option number two from list. **Motion carried.**

K. Schauf reviewed respective items, grid and options scoring guide; will include definitions and send everything to the group for discussion at committee level.

Motion by M. Krueger, second by A. Carlson, to adjourn until October 25, 2011, at 4:30 p.m. for next business meeting. **Motion carried.**

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:45 P.M.**

Respectfully submitted,

Signed by: Judith Stoeckmann, Secretary